**Evaluating Web Sites Chart**

*Evaluate the selected web sites by using these criteria. Answer the questions for each site, as the information is available. In the final row, indicate whether you would feel comfortable using each site in a research paper for class. You must use COMPLETE SENTENCES when completing this chart.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Pets or Food**<http://petsorfood.com/>  | **The Museum of Jurassic Technology**[**http://www.mjt.org/**](http://www.mjt.org/) |
| **AUTHORITY** Who is responsible for the information?Can you identify the author(s)? Is the organization sponsoring the site knowledgeable or respected in its field?  | The person who made the website is responsible for all the information. Everything is respected in the field and the website is pretty knowledgeable  | I don’t see any authors and this looks like a fake website. It is not a knowledgeable website and it is clearly not a respected field.  |
| **OBJECTIVITY**Why was this site created? Is the page designed to sway opinion? Is there any advertising on the page? Is the information based on fact or opinion? | This site was created for people looking for pets and food. There are some advertising on the site though. This website is based on both facts and opinions and there are many comments.  | This website was made for information about Jurassic technology. This page isn’t designed to sway opinion, this is based on an opinion and there are no advertisements  |
| **AUTHENTICITY AND RELIABILITY** Does the information appear to be accurate? Is it sponsored by an established organization? Do the links work?Are there trustworthy sources? | The lines appear to be perfect and good, they are trustworthy and it is sponsored by a good company.  | All the lines work but I don’t trust the website that much and I’m not 100 % sure that the website isn’t fake  |
| **TIMELINESS** Is the page dated? If so, when was the last update? Is the information current?  |  |  |
| **EVALUATION**Would you recommend this site as a reliable source for research? Why or why not? |  |  |