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| **A Rubric for Evaluating Web Sites** **Name of site: BBCURL of site: http://** <http://www.bbc.co.uk/science/seamonsters/factfiles/coelurosaur.shtml> |
| Criterion(Trait being evaluated)  | Excellentbecause . . .  | Acceptablebecause . . .  | Unacceptablebecause . . .  |
| **Authority** It is a good and well proof read website And it is made by BBC and it comes from BBC a real website about real stuff. * What are the author's qualifications to write about the topic?
* Are the author's name and address on the site?
* ]check the domain name of the site (the part that ends with .com or .edu). Is the site affiliated with a reputable organization?

It comes from excellent material and it hasn’t come another site. It has really good accuracy.  |  |  |  |
| **Content** 1. Does the author present original material, or has everything come from another source?
2. Does the author present material in depth?
3. Does the author try to be objective? If the author is biased, does he/she make the position clear?
4. Does the site seem to be misleading?
5. Can you doublecheck the information for accuracy?
6. Has the information been updated recently?
7. Are links to other sources of information available?
 |  |  |  |
| **Purpose** * Is the site trying to sell you something? Does that affect the information being presented?
* Is the site a personal homepage or a professional source of information?
* If the site is about a controversial issue, does it present one side or both sides of the argument?
 | No it is just teaching us things and facts about nature and animals.  |  |  |
| **Design** * How much advertising does the site contain?
* Has the author paid attention to spelling and other basic writing skills?
* Are the links current?
* Is it reasonably easy to find your way around the site?
 | This contains no advertisements. Yes everything is correct spelling and has great writing skills. Everything is current and it is reasonably good to fine anything on the website.  |  |  |